

#### ONEIDA COUNTY BOARD OF LEGISLATORS

ONEIDA COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 800 PARK AVENUE • UTICA, N.Y. 13501-2977

Gerald J. Fiorini Chairman (315) 798-5900

Mikale Billard Clerk (315) 798-5901

David J. Wood

Patricia A. Hudak Minority Leader

Majority Leader

#### COMMUNICATIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION August 25, 2010

(Correspondence relating to upcoming legislation, appointments, petitions, etc)

FILE NO.	<u>COMMITTEE</u>	<b>PAGES</b>
	Ways & Means	2-5
	Public Safety, Ways & Means	6-7
	Courts, Laws & Rules, Public Safety, Ways & Means	8-10
	Agriculture & Rural Development, Ways & Means	11-32
	Airport, Ways & Means	33-34

ALL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION AVAILABLE AT www.ocgov.net

vi			



#### ONEIDA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF LAW

Oneida County Office Building 800 Park Avenue • Utica, New York 13501-2975 (315) 798-5910 • fax (315) 798-5603

> LINDA M.H. DILLON COUNTY ATTORNEY

FN 20 10 \_ 313..

August 11, 2010

Anthony J. Picente, Jr. Oneida County Executive 800 Park Avenue Utica, New York, 13501

WAYS & MEANS

RE: Brown v. County of Oneida, et al.

Dear Mr. Picente:

I am in receipt of a recommendation of settlement in the above referenced matter from David H. Walsh IV, Esq., Oneida County's legal representative in this civil action. (see letter attached)

It is my understanding that the recommendation of settlement of \$25,000 is the amount that was discussed with the Ways and Means Committee of the Board of Legislators and offered by counsel during settlement negotiations of this matter.

I ask that the Board approve of a settlement in this matter at the Board of Legislators' August 25, 2010 regular session.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Linda M.H. Dillon County Attorney

Cc: David H. Walsh, IV

3610 VAC 11 58 1: P1

GHVIEDER THUES AOBING Reviewed and Approved for submittel to the

alling & Color

Date 8/15/10

#### PETRONE & PETRONE, P.C.

#### Attorneys at Law

John R. Petrone II \*
Lori E. Petrone
Mark J. Halpin
Mary Ellen Luker
Janet F. Neumann
Kathleen A. Lupia
James H. Cosgriff III
David H. Walsh IV
Mark O. Chieco
Louis J. Tripoli

Marcus M. Curry (1924-1996) 108 West Jefferson Street Suite 305 Syracuse, NY 13202 (315) 476-0104 FAX (315) 476-0107 1624 Genesee Street Utica, NY 13502 (800) 521-1260 FAX (315) 735-5368

465 New Karner Road Albany, NY 12205 (800) 521-1260 FAX (315) 735-5368 5500 Main Street Suite 342 Williamsville, NY 14221 (800) 521-1260 FAX (716) 204-2509

205 St. Paul Street Suite 300 Rochester, NY 14614 (800) 521-1260 FAX (315) 735-5368

July 28, 2010

Linda M. H. Dillon, Esq. Oneida County Attorney Oneida County Office Building 800 Park Avenue Utica, New York 13501

RE:

Dustin Brown v. County of Oneida, Deputy Sheriff John Ford, Deputy Sheriff Jeffrey R. Jones and Deputy Sheriff Robert Drake

Civil Case No.: 6:09-CV-0303 (DNH/GHL)

Dear Ms. Dillon:

I submit this letter seeking approval from the County Legislature to settle with the Plaintiff, on behalf of the County of Oneida, Deputy Ford, Deputy Jones, and Deputy Drake, the pending claims in the amount of \$25,000.00.

#### **BACKGROUND FACTS**:

In the late evening hours of May 31, 2008, the Plaintiff, Dustin Brown, was with friends at a bar located on Main Street in Clayville, New York. Dustin Brown was 26 years old at the time and employed in his father's business, Brown's Tents and Awnings. He was a high school graduate, unmarried with no children.

At approximately 2:00 a.m. there was a fight outside the bar between two (2) friends of Mr. Brown. Mr. Brown left the bar with another individual with the intention of breaking up the fight. Deputy Sheriffs Jones, Ford, and Drake were already in the area having been called regarding an illegal fireworks possession. Mr. Brown admits that he got between the two (2) combatants and grabbed one of them to prevent further fighting. While this was occurring, Deputy Ford had observed the fight in the street and had responded. He parked close to the fight, left his car, went to the fight scene and testified that he directed the individuals to stop fighting. He further testified that his directives were ignored and that he believed that Mr. Brown and the other individual were fighting each other and he pepper sprayed the individual next to Mr. Brown on two (2) separate bursts. Deputy Ford says Mr. Brown got hit with over spray.

<sup>\*</sup> Also admitted in Pennsylvania

Mr. Brown says that when the Police arrived, he was standing next to the other individual, the fight was over, and the Deputy ran up to him and pepper sprayed him in the face without any prior warning. Mr. Brown fell to his knees from the pepper spray and was immediately handcuffed.

Mr. Brown then says he was taken by Deputy Jones to his Police car, still handcuffed, where he was bent over onto the hood of Deputy Jones' car and searched, and his head banged on the hood two to three times. Deputy Jones denies this allegation.

Mr. Brown was released from handcuffs and brought into the bar by Deputy Jones where he was allowed to rinse his eyes and face with water. After approximately thirty minutes, Mr. Brown was not arrested, left the bar area, and went home. He sought no medical treatment, did not suffer any permanent injuries other than some pain for 24 hours in his shoulders and wrists and did not miss any work. The effects of the pepper spray disappeared after approximately one hour.

#### **PENDING CLAIMS:**

Plaintiff sued the Defendants on a variety of State and Federal Law claims. By Motion, most were dismissed except for the following:

- 1. Federal Claim §1983 Excessive Force;
- 2. State Law Claim Assault and Battery; and
- 3. State Law Claim Respondeat Superior against the County of Oneida.

The central issue is whether the Deputies had reasonable cause to believe the use of the pepper spray and use of handcuffs were justified based on the facts and circumstances presented at the time. The Plaintiff and Deputies gave two different stories regarding the incident and the credibility of the witnesses will be a major factor in the ultimate decision by the jury.

#### **CURRENT STATUS:**

The trial in this matter has been scheduled for August 17, 2010 before Judge Hurd in Utica, New York.

#### **SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS TO DATE:**

The Plaintiff initially demanded the sum of \$75,000.00, as and for full settlement of this matter. On July 15, 2010, a settlement conference was held in Court before Judge David Hurd. At the conclusion of the conference, the Plaintiff had reduced his demand to \$30,000.00, and I had offered \$25,000.00 conditioned on the approval of the Legislature.

Negotiations continued between the parties after the Court conference, and ended with Plaintiff's counsel informing me that \$25,000.00 would be acceptable as and for full settlement of all of Plaintiff's claims.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Linda M. H. Dillon, Esq. July 28, 2010 Page 3

Based on the foregoing, it is my recommendation that settlement of this matter in the amount of \$25,000.00 is fair and reasonable given the potential for an adverse verdict and the awarding of attorneys' fees to Plaintiff's counsel. I, therefore, urge the Legislature to approve the settlement as in the best interests of the County of Oneida.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

PETRONE & PETRONE, P.C.

David H. Walsh, IV

DHW:ppn



#### OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF

DANIEL G. MIDDAUGH SHERIFF

M. PETER PARAVATI UNDERSHERIFF

#### **COUNTY OF ONEIDA**

August 2, 2010

800 Park Avenue

FN 20 10 - 314

**PUBLIC SAFETY** 

Dear Mr. Picente.

Oneida County Executive

Utica, New York 13501

The Honorable Anthony Picente Jr.

**WAYS & MEANS** 

The Sheriff's Office has provided funds under contract from Global Tel Link to be used to purchase computer related hardware that supports our information management system. In addition to a commission, Global Tel Link provides a sign on commitment to this Office. This program is part of the inmate telephone system and calling program.

We have \$16,667 which has already been received and deposited. See the attached audit trail. A separate revenue account has been established for this purpose and a supplemental appropriation will allow for a purchase supporting information management in the Sheriff's Office.

The Supplemental Appropriation Request is as follows:

A3150.212 \$ 16,667

A2722 \$ 16,667

Thank you for your anticipated support of this request.

Singerely,

Daniel G. Middaugh

Sheriff

cc: Tom Keeler, Budget Director

Reviewed and Approved for submittel to the

**Administrative Office** 

6065 Judd Road Oriskany, NY 13424 Voice (315) 736-8364 Fax (315) 765-2205

Law Enforcement Division 6065 Judd Road Oriskany, NY 13424

Voice (315) 736-0141 Fax (315) 736-7946

**Correction Division** 

6075 Judd Road Oriskany, NY 13424 Voice (315) 768-7804 Fax (315) 765-2327

**Civil Division** 

200 Elizabeth Street Utica, NY 13501 Voice (315) 798-5862 Fax (315) 798-6495

IFM /Access

Oneida County

# Account Audit Trail

August 05, 2010

1.

Audit of selected accounts, for dates from 01/01/10 to 08/05/10 for fiscal year 2010

Fund: A - General Fund

Account: A2722 - Reimb from Global Tel Link for Jail Computer Equip - Revenue

Department: 3150 - Sheriff - Jail Inmates

	06/11/10 06/11/10	03/19/10	Date
	06/11/10 Rec 1409871 06/11/10 Adj 1421308	03/19/10 Adj 1421309	Type Journal
	06/15/10 07/28/10	07/28/10	Posted
TIOH TELEFORM TO THE TELEFORM	06/15/10 GTL - JAIL COMPUTER EQUIP 07/28/10 To Reclass Rec #1409871, 4/10 GTL Phone Comm, From A7772 To A7717 RFII I. 07-27-10	07/28/10 To Reclass Rec #1388502, GTL Bonus From A2717 To A2722 BFILL 07-27-10	Description
	103083 SHERIFF'S DEPT 2010 80	2010 80	Details
\$0.00			Est. Revenues
\$0.00			ı
\$16,666.66	\$15,677.81 (\$15,677.81)	\$16,666.66	Received
(\$16,666.66)	\$(32,344.47) \$(16,666.66)	\$(16,666.66)	Revenue Remaining



**David Tomidy** Director



## Oneida County Probation Department

321 Main Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Utica, New York 13501

Utica ~ Phone: (315) 798-5914 Fax: (315) 798-6467 Rome ~ Juvenile: (315) 337-0080 Adult: (315) 337-0073 E-mail: probation@ocgov.net · Web Site: www.ocgov.net Thomas J. Marcoline Deputy Director

Supervisors

Thomas Brognano Patrick Cady Paula Mrzlikar David J. Radell

July 19, 2010

FN 20

Mr. Anthony J. Picente, Jr. Oneida County Office Building COURTS, LAWS & RULES 800 Park Avenue – 10<sup>th</sup> Floor

PUBLIC SAFETY

WAYS 18: MEANS rvision Fees

Dear Mr. Picente:

Utica, New York 13501

Attached is a proposed local law levying a monthly fee on individuals under Probation supervision for DWI. In light of our difficult fiscal times it is time we joined over 15 other counties who charge this fee. In addition, this fee is an added weapon in our arsenal to both deter DWI offenses and further hold offenders accountable for their behavior.

Oneida County continues to aggressively attack this very serious community issue and we should all be proud of these efforts. This law was prepared by the County Attorney and should you approve of it please forward to the Board for their consideration.

Thank you.

PROBATION DIRECTOR

DT:kas Attachments

Reviewed and Approved for submittal to the

Onalda County Executive's Office

#### ONEIDA COUNTY BOARD OF LEGISLATORS

RESOLUTION NO.

INTRODUCED BY: 2ND BY:

RE: A LOCAL LAW REQUIRING THE PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE FEES TO THE ONEIDA COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT BY PERSONS CONVICTED OF A VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 31 OF THE NYS VEHICLE AND TRAFFIC LAW PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE LAW SECTION 257-c

Legislative Intent: The intent of this local law is to provide additional monies for the administration and services of the Oneida County Probation Department to be paid by those parties convicted of alcohol and drug related offenses under New York State Vehicle and Traffic Law Article 31.

# BE IT ENACTED by the Board of County Legislators of the County of Oneida, State of New York, as follows:

- 1. Those individuals who are currently serving or who shall be sentenced to a period of probation in Oneida County upon conviction of any crime designated under Article 31 of the New York State Vehicle and Traffic Law shall be required to pay to the Oneida County Probation Department an administrative fee of thirty dollars per month for the duration of their sentence of probation.
- 2. This monthly administrative fee shall be collected in accordance with the provisions of Section 420.10(6) of the Criminal Procedure Law except as set forth in section 3 below and shall not constitute nor be imposed as a condition of probation.
- 3. In the event of non-payment of any fees required by this local law, which have not been waived by the Oneida County Probation Department, the County Attorney may seek to enforce payment in any manner permitted by law for the enforcement of a debt, otherwise collection and enforcement shall be as provided for in Section 2 above.

- 4. The Oneida County Probation Department shall waive all or part of the required fee where:
  - a. the probationer subject to such fees hereunder has been determined to be indigent, or
  - b. the payment of such fee would create an unreasonable hardship on the person convicted, his or her immediate family, or any other person who is dependent on the probationer for financial support.
- 5. All monies collected pursuant to this local law shall be utilized for probation services by the Oneida County Probation Department. Such monies shall not be considered by the New York State Division of Probation when determining state aid reimbursement pursuant to Section 246 of the Executive Law and such monies shall not be used to replace federal funds otherwise utilized for probation services.

This Local Law shall take effect upon filing with the New York State Secretary of State and shall remain in effect until the first day of September, 2011 when it shall be deemed repealed.

APPROVED:	Public Safety ( Laws and Rules ( Ways & Means Committee (	)	)
DATED:			
• •	e following roll call vote:		

#### ONEIDA COUNTY BOARD OF LEGISLATORS

ONEIDA COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 800 PARK AVENUE • UTICA, N.Y. 13501-2977

Gerald J. Fiorini Chairman (315) 798-5900

Mikale Billard Clerk (315) 798-5404

David J. Wood

Majority Leader

Patricia A. Hudak Minority Leader

FN 20 10 - 3/6

August 24, 2010

Agriculture & Rural Development **Board of Legislators** ways & Means

County of Oneida 800 Park Avenue Utica, New York 13501

> RE: Final Approval of Consolidated Agricultural District # 2 -to Include the Towns of Ava, Lee, Western and the City of Rome (Formerly District 23)

Honorable Members:

Attached is a packet of information for the final approval of the 8-year review of Oneida County Consolidated Agricultural District #2, now including the towns of Ava, Lee, Western and the City of Rome.

It is recommended by the Oneida County Farmland Protection Board to modify the district to include 144 landowners and 20,352.8 acres of farmland. As part of the County's plan to consolidate districts within the County, the boundaries of District #2 were modified to follow municipal boundaries of these towns and the attached documentation will show that this district has been restructured to better reflect geographic boundaries of common agricultural communities.

I respectfully request that this issue be considered by the Board at the meeting of September 15, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

GERALD J. FJORINI, CHAIRMAN ONEIDA COUNTY BOARD OF LEGISLATORS

GJF/pp attachments

Zolovnest Unit: 15

CHIEDV COORLY FERREVLABEL LABORATED

MAP ID#	<u>OWNER</u>	PIN	MUNICIPALITY	ACRES
1	ABBUHL, FAMILY TRUST	154.000-1-5	WESTERN	21.3
1	ABBUHL, FAMILY TRUST	154.000-1-5	WESTERN	130.4
1	ABBUHL, FAMILY TRUST	135.000-1-19.1		93.6
2	AGENS, WALTER F.	45.000-1-28.1	AVA	51.7
2	AGENS, WALTER F.	45.000~1~28.1	AVA	118.0
2	AGENS, WALTER F.	45.000-1-31	AVA	0.6
2	AGENS, WALTER F.	45.000-1-30	AVA	52.1
3	BACKER, GILBERT	45.000-1-35	AVA	169.1
4	BAKER, DB & LE	206.000~1~4.1	ROME	17.5
5	BARDIN, JENNIFER	62.000~1~23	AVA	38.0
5	BARDIN, JENNIFER	62.000-1-23	AVA	175.8
6	BECKLEY, FRED	173.000-1-1	WESTERN	115.4
7	BELEWICH, WILLIAM W.	78.000-1-33	AVA	271.1
8	BENNETT, BRUCE	113.000-1-41	LEE	114.9
9	BIELBY, ERWIN L	135.000-1-3.1	WESTERN	99.3
10	BRODOCK, NEIL	219.000-1-20	ROME	66.9
10	BRODOCK, NEIL	219.000-1-15.1	ROME	9.4
10	BRODOCK, NEIL	219.000-1-20	ROME	0.6
10	BRODOCK, NEIL	219.000-1-13	ROME	9.3
10	BRODOCK, NEIL	219.000-1-15.1	ROME	92.0
10	BRODOCK, NEIL	219.000-1-5.1	ROME	28.5
10	BRODOCK, NEIL	219.000-1-5	ROME	34.7
11	BROOKS, LEON B.	136.000-1-5.4	WESTERN	2.0
11	BROOKS, LEON B.	136.000-1-5.4	WESTERN	6.4
11	BROOKS, LEON B.	117.000~1~12.1	WESTERN	321.3
11	BROOKS, LEON B.	116.000-1-24.1	WESTERN	121.0
11	BROOKS, LEON B.	116.000-1-10.1	WESTERN	73.8
12	BROOKS, MARY	117.000-1-12.2		2.0
13	CADY, BENJAMIN F. JR	81.000-1-18.1	AVA	7.6
13	CADY, BENJAMIN F. JR	81.000-1-18.1	AVA	15.2
13	CADY, BENJAMIN F. JR	81.000-1-18.1	AVA	40.3
13	CADY, BENJAMIN F. JR	99.000-1-8	WESTERN	20.6
13		99.000-1-8	WESTERN	41.7
	CADY, BENJAMIN F. JR CARD, SHERRI	257.000~1~57.1		5.8
14		257.000-1-57.1		19.9
14	CARD, SHERRI	257.000~1~57.1		1.9
14	CARD, SHERRI		WESTERN	44.6
15	CHAMPLIN, PAUL	190.000-3-4		
15	CHAMPLIN, PAUL	173.000-1-35	WESTERN	130.
16	COLE, WILLIAM	173.000-1-29.1		2.4
16	COLE, WILLIAM	173.000-1-29.1		62.3
17	COLLINS, CALVIN	238.000-1-1	ROME .	74.9
18	COONROD, BRUCE W. II	189.000-2-6.3	ROME	55.5
19	CORR, JOHN J.	206.000-1-5.1	ROME	5.3
19	CORR, JOHN J.	206.000-1-5.1	ROME	24.3
19	CORR, JOHN J.	206.000-1-22	ROME	86.5
19	CORR, JOHN J.	206.000-1-5.3	ROME	5.5
20	COSTELLO, ROBERT J.	79.000-1-28.3	AVA	165.
20	COSTELLO, ROBERT J.	79.000-1-30.1	AVA	140.0

MAP ID#	OWNER	PIN	MUNICIPALITY	ACRES
21	CRESSWELL, JUSTIN P.	189.000-1-37	ROME	30.7
22	DAVIS, WAYNE A.	134.000~1~8	WESTERN	26.4
23	DOLAN, CJ & PC	189.000-1-42.1	ROME	88.4
24	DONAHUE, PETER J. JR	154.000-1-17.1	WESTERN	29.1
24	DONAHUE, PETER J. JR	154.000-1-17.1	WESTERN	229.8
25	DUTCH, ROBERT	98.000-2-1.3	WESTERN	2.6
26	DYKEMAN, GLORIA JEAN	257.000-1-8.3	ROME	42.3
27	ECKEL, JAMES AND AARON	202.000~2~2	ROME	40.2
28	EGGAN ENTERPRISES OF ROME	190.000-2-2.1	ROME	66.5
29	EGGAN, JOHN	189.000-3-7.1	WESTERN	19.7
29	EGGAN, JOHN	190.000-3-12.3	WESTERN	145.9
30	FOURNIER, CATHLEEN N.	188.000-1-13.1	ROME	27.5
31	FULLER, AMY	169.000-2-60	LEE	27.7
31	FULLER, AMY	169.000-2-60	LEE	18.6
32	GAFNER, GEORGE & MARCIA	239.000-1-1	ROME	41.0
32	GAFNER, GEORGE & MARCIA	219.000-1-24	ROME	7.0
32	GAFNER, GEORGE & MARCIA	219.000~1~24	ROME	43.8
32	GAFNER, GEORGE & MARCIA	219.000-1-6	ROME	3.2
33	GALLAGHER, LINDA L.	171.001-1-54.1	LEE	30.9
34	GATTARI, VICTOR MARYILYN	273.000-3-2	ROME	9.5
34	GATTARI, VICTOR MARYILYN	273.000-3-3	ROME	35.4
34	GATTARI, VICTOR MARYILYN	273.000-3-4	ROME	24.4
34	GATTARI, VICTOR MARYILYN	273.000-3-4	ROME	6.9
35	GOWOREK, EDWARD R. & PHYLLIS	154.000-1-6.1	WESTERN	67.4
35	GOWOREK, EDWARD R. & PHYLLIS	154.000-1-6.1	WESTERN	154.1
36	GRANT, BRIAN W	45.000-1-26.2	AVA	65.5
37	GROCHOLSKI, JOHN	81.000-1-19	AVA	96.9
38	GROCHOLSKI, PETER	81.000-1-20	AVA	26.1
39	HAWKINS, BRUCE & KEVIN	174.000-2-3.4	WESTERN	102.3
40	HENDERBERG, JAY	257.000-1-59	ROME	33.6
41	HOWARD, ROBERT B.	134.000-2-13.1	LEE	23.9
41	HOWARD, ROBERT	134.000-1-14.5	WESTERN	14.2
42	INGERSOLL, WILLIAM	62.000-1-26.2	AVA	79.9
43	JONES, L B.	206.000-2-60.1		23.7
44	KEMP, JESSICA	186.000-3-36.3		21.9
45	KIPFER, ALBERT	206.000-2-58	ROME	28.6
46	KIRK, PAUL	135,000-1-9.1	WESTERN	110.1
46	KIRK, PAUL	135.000-1-9.1	WESTERN	64.5
46	KIRK, PAUL	115.000-1-7	WESTERN	62.1
47	KLOSNER, HAROLD	156.000-2-30	WESTERN	101.1
48	KOZIARZ, C&G	206.000-2-8.1	ROME	64.0
49	KOZIARZ, JOHN S.	206.000-2-54.1	ROME	22.2
49	KOZIARZ, JOHN S.	206.000-2-42	ROME	37.1
49	KOZIARZ, JOHN S.	206.000-2-45.1	ROME	16.4
49	KOZIARZ, JOHN S.	206.000-2-41.1	ROME	67.9
49	KOZIARZ, JOHN S.	206.000-2-16	ROME	6.4
49	KOZIARZ, JOHN S.	206.000-2-11	ROME	19.7
50	KRZEWINSKI, FRANK	115.000-1-6.1	WESTERN	379.0
1 50	LANCKTON, MATTHEW A.	206.000-2-28	ROME	131.6
<b>E</b> 1			I AND AT ALL	1 2010
51 51	LANCKTON, MATTHEW A.	206.000-2-29	ROME	79.0

MAP ID#	OWNER	PIN	MUNICIPALITY	ACRES
53	LAWSON, JOHN SR	206.000-2-75	ROME	8.1
54	LEAVENS, DONALD	206.000-2-47.3	LEE	18.1
55	LEKKI, MATHEW	61.000-1-1.1	AVA	97.0
55	LEKKI, MATHEW	61.000~1~1.1	AVA	83.8
56	LENDA, MICHAEL	206.000-1-6.1	ROME	5.2
57	LERUM, REID S.	134.000~1~14.3	WESTERN	33.0
58	LITTS, JAMES R. JR	152.000-1-20.2	LEE	11.2
59	LONGO TRUST, ANTHONY M.	116.000-1-3.1	WESTERN	32.7
59	LONGO TRUST, ANTHONY M.	116.000-1-3.7	WESTERN	0.9
59	LONGO TRUST, ANTHONY M.	116.000-1-3.1	WESTERN	679.0
60	LOVELESS, SPENCER	113.000-1-48.2	LEE	4.8
61	MANDRYCK, BRIAN	171.000~2~37	LEE	6.4
61	MANDRYCK, BRIAN	153.000-2-58.1	LEE	138.3
61	MANDRYCK, BRIAN AND JAMES	153.000-1-39.5	WESTERN	50.0
62	MANDRYCK, DIANE	153.000-1-27.1	WESTERN	21.6
62	MANDRYCK, DIANE	153.000-1-33.1	WESTERN	14.2
63	MERRILL, EDWARD & SHARON	186.001-1-37	LEE	2.0
63	MERRILL, EDWARD & SHARON	186.001-1-37	LEE	5.4
64	MIEREK, CARL & THOMAS	137.000-2-24.1	WESTERN	36.9
64	MIEREK, CARL & THOMAS	137.000-2-24.1	WESTERN	174.1
64	MIEREK, CARL & THOMAS	118.000-2-9	WESTERN	32.9
64	MIEREK, CARL & THOMAS	118.000-2-8	WESTERN	111.2
64	MIEREK, CARL & THOMAS	118.000-2-9	WESTERN	126.6
65	MIEREK, GEORGE	137.000-2-18.1	WESTERN	196.5
65	MIEREK, GEORGE	137.000~2~16.2	WESTERN	17.7
65	MIEREK, GEORGE	137.000-2-19	WESTERN	1.1
65	MIEREK, GEORGE	136.000-1-13	WESTERN	79.3
65	MIEREK, GEORGE	137.000-2-6.1	WESTERN	47.0
65	MIEREK, GEORGE	137.000-2-6.1	WESTERN	44.9
65	MIEREK, GEORGE	137.000-2-6.1	WESTERN	48.4
65	MIEREK, GEORGE	118.000-2-10	WESTERN	73.6
65	MIEREK, GEORGE	118.000-2-6	WESTERN	39.2
66	MIEREK, PETER	137.000-2-17	WESTERN	202.0
67	MIGLIORI, VINCENT & MARY	134.000-1-24.1	WESTERN	43.0
67	MIGLIORI, VINCENT & MARY	134.000-1-24.1		57.5
67	MIGLIORI, VINCENT & MARY	134.000-1-24.2		4.7
67	MIGLIORI, VINCENT & MARY	134.000-1-24.1	WESTERN	67.6
68	MILLS, WILLIAM J.	155.000-1-44	WESTERN	8.9
68	MILLS, WILLIAM J.	155.000-1-10.1	WESTERN	0.4
68	MILLS, WILLIAM J.	155,000-1-10.1	WESTERN	149.0
68	MILLS, WILLIAM J.	136.000-1-23.3		23.7
68	MILLS, WILLIAM J.	136.004-1-58.1	WESTERN	32.9
69	MONDRICK, JAMES	170.000-1-1	LEE	17.5
69	MONDRICK, JAMES	170.000-1-1	LEE	29.2
69	MONDRICK, JAMES	152.000-1-20.1		6.1
69	MONDRICK, JAMES	152.000~1~20.1		80.3
69	MONDRICK, JAMES	152.000~1~11.1		4.6
	, and the second	152.000~1~17.1		77.1
69	MONDRICK, JAMES			
69	MONDRICK, JAMES	152.000-1-11.1		79.5
70	MONDRICK, ROBERT A.	152.000-1-11.5		43.1
71	MOSKIN, MICHAEL	135.000-1-6	WESTERN	61.8

MAP ID#	OWNER	PIN	MUNICIPALITY	<u>ACRES</u>
71	MOSKIN, MICHAEL	135.000-1-6	WESTERN	16.1
71	MOSKIN, MICHAEL	135.000~1~6	WESTERN	64.1
71	MOSKIN, MICHAEL	135.000-1-6	WESTERN	64.1
71	MOSKIN, MICHAEL	116.000-1-26	WESTERN	97.6
71	MOSKIN, MICHAEL	116.000~1~27	WESTERN	2.1
71	MOSKIN, MICHAEL	116.000-1-26	WESTERN	0.4
71	MOSKIN, MICHAEL	116.000-1-26	WESTERN	24.0
71	MOSKIN, MICHAEL	116.000~1~26	WESTERN	410.3
71	MOSKIN, MICHAEL	116.000-1-29.2	WESTERN	216.7
72	MURPHY, KENNETH	206.000-1-16.1	ROME	32.7
73	NEISS, VIRGINIA K.	186.001-1-41.1	ROME	28.9
73	NEISS, VIRGINIA K.	186.000-3-35	LEE	30.6
73	NEISS, VIRGINIA K.	186.000-3-35	LEE	21.2
74	OLNEY, FLOYD W.	155.000-1-58.1	WESTERN	8.3
74	OLNEY, FLOYD W.	155.000-1-58.1	WESTERN	17.7
74	OLNEY, FLOYD W.	155.000-1-58.1	WESTERN	3.1
75	OLNEY, GEORGE J. JR	173.001-2-8.2	WESTERN	3.9
75	OLNEY, GEORGE J. JR	173.000-1-40	WESTERN	3.6
75	OLNEY, GEORGE J. JR	173.000-1-39.1	WESTERN	33.3
75	OLNEY, GEORGE J. JR	173.001-1-20	WESTERN	2.9
76	OLNEY, JOHN	173.000~1~38	WESTERN	50.8
77	OLNEY, SCOTT W.	189.000-3-6.2	WESTERN	101.5
78	PABIS, ROBERT	272.000-2-37	ROME	2.9
78	PABIS, ROBERT	272.000-2-38	ROME	16.4
79	PAVLOTT, TL&JL	189.000-1-41	ROME	155.9
80	PERRY, THOMAS & DEBORAH	80.000-1-16.1	AVA	108.0
80	PERRY, THOMAS & DEBORAH	80.000-1-16.2	AVA	89.8
81	PERUSSE, DONALD	132.000-1-41.1	LEE	174.4
82	PRATT, MICHAEL	151.000-1-22.1	LEE	40.7
83	PRITCHARD, H A.	272.000-2-33.1	ROME	3.6
83	PRITCHARD, H A.	272.000-2-49	ROME	11.6
84	PRITCHARD, IVAN & MARY	132.000-1-38.1	LEE	137.4
85	PRITCHARD, MARK & VERNIE	133.000-1-1	LEE	59.0
85	PRITCHARD, MARK & VERNIE	132.000-1-31	LEE	10.5
85	PRITCHARD, MARK & VERNIE	132.000-1-34	LEE	0.4
85	PRITCHARD, MARK & VERNIE	132.000-1-35.1		216.4
85	PRITCHARD, MARK & VERNIE	132.000~1~35.1	LEE	20.0
86	RADELL, ANNA MARIE	98.000-2-3.1	WESTERN	50.3
86	RADELL, ANNA MARIE	98.000-2-3.1	WESTERN	5.8
87	RADELL, MICHAEL & JOAN	98.000-1-4	AVA	40.8
87	RADELL, MICHAEL & JOAN	98.000-2-1.1	WESTERN	30.8
88	RAPKE, EDWIN & WALTER	116.000-1-28.1	WESTERN	95.2
89	RAPKE, WALTER	172.000~1~2	WESTERN	4.9
89	RAPKE, WALTER	172.000-1-51.1	WESTERN	33.8
90	ROUNDS, RENEE	79.000-1-16.1	AVA	32.0
90	ROUNDS, RENEE	79.000-1-16.1	AVA	111.7
91	RYAN, RICHARD	45.000-1-26.3	AVA	32.4
91	RYAN, RICHARD	45.000-1-26.3	AVA	6.1
92	SADLOWSKI, SOPHIE C.	96.000-1-8	AVA	15.9
92	SADLOWSKI, SOPHIE C.	96.000-1-8	AVA	142.0
93	SANDEFER HILL FARM	272.000-2-31	ROME	0.4

MAP ID#	OWNER	PIN	MUNICIPALITY	<u>ACRES</u>
93	SANDEFER HILL FARM	272.000-2-32	ROME	54.8
93	SANDEFER HILL FARM	272.000-2-33.2	ROME	27.0
93	SANDEFER HILL FARM	272.000-2-3	ROME	114.3
94	SCHALLENBERG, DAVID	154.000-1-33	WESTERN	6.2
94	SCHALLENBERG, DAVID	154.000-1-33	WESTERN	160.6
94	SCHALLENBERG, DAVID	155.000-1-46	WESTERN	3.1
94	SCHALLENBERG, DAVID	154.000-1-12	WESTERN	28.3
94	SCHALLENBERG, DAVID	155.000-1-66.1	WESTERN	207.7
94	SCHALLENBERG, DAVID	155.000-1-43.4	WESTERN	105.1
94	SCHALLENBERG, DAVID	155.000-1-46	WESTERN	47.9
95	SCHMEICHEL, HELMUT	78.000-1-23	AVA	4.2
95	SCHMEICHEL, HELMUT	78.000-1-24	AVA	79.6
95	SCHMEICHEL, HELMUT	78.000-1-27	AVA	139.8
96	SERVATIUS, HERMAN	136.000-1-8.1	WESTERN	0.0
96	SERVATIUS, HERMAN	136.000-1-8.1	WESTERN	87.9
96	SERVATIUS, HERMAN	136.000-1-8.1	WESTERN	35.7
97	SEYMOUR, LANCE B.	79.000~1~8	AVA	0.9
97	SEYMOUR, LANCE B.	79.000-1-8	AVA	133.8
98	SHADDON, FRANK	190.000-2-3	ROME	59.8
99	SIEGEL, JOHN	155.000-1-10.2	LEE	219.3
100	SMITH, HOWARD G.	154.000-1-41.1	WESTERN	58.6
100	SMITH, HOWARD G.	154.000-1-41.1	WESTERN	3.6
101	SMITH, JOSEPH M.	133.000-1-16	LEE	140.2
102	SMITH, KIMBERLY	154.000-1-41.2	WESTERN	5.1
103	SMITH, RICHARD R.	135.000-1-16	WESTERN	155.6
103	SMITH, RICHARD R.	135.000-1-14	WESTERN	118.1
103	SMITH, RICHARD R.	135.000~1~12	WESTERN	125.3
104	SMITH, RONALD C.	136.000-1-35.1	WESTERN	60.3
104	SMITH, RONALD C.	136.000-1-36.2	WESTERN	78.0
104	SMITH, RONALD C.	135.000-1-5	WESTERN	160.9
104	SMITH, RONALD C.	136.000-1-36.2	WESTERN	24.8
104	SMITH, RONALD C.	136.000-1-33	WESTERN	108.3
105	SOKOLOFF, DIANE	116.000-1-18.2	WESTERN	1.0
106	SOUTHWICK, SIDNEY C.	135.000-1-13	WESTERN	186.8
107	SPOOR, WAYNE	152.000-2-41.4		43.1
108	SQUIRES, ACRES	116.000~1~18.1		49.5
108	SQUIRES, ACRES	116.000~1~18.1	WESTERN	44.7
109	STAGNER, DONALD	202.000-2-23	ROME	4.8
109	STAGNER, DONALD	202.000-2-23	ROME	2.7
109	STAGNER, DONALD	202.000-2-23	ROME	30.2
110	STAGNER, KEN & KATHRYN	202.000-2-3.1	ROME	1.3
110	STAGNER, KEN & KATHRYN	202.000-2-3.1	ROME	91.5
111	STEMPIEN, ALEX	62.000-1-24	AVA	27.4
111	STEMPIEN, ALEX	62.000-1-24	AVA	128.3
111	SWANCOTT, SARAH	62.000-1-5.1	AVA	147.5
113	TAMBURINO, JOEL G.	206.000-2-71.2		4.9
		115.000-2-45	LEE	71.2
114	TANNER, ROBERT	80.000-1-4	AVA	1.0
115	THORTON, ERNEST	80.000-1-4	AVA	87.1
115	THORTON, ERNEST	80.000-1-3.1	AVA	140.9
115	THORTON, ERNEST			65.5
115	THORTON, ERNEST	80.000-1-3.1	AVA	65.5

MAP ID#	OWNER	PIN	MUNICIPALITY	ACRES
115	THORTON, ERNEST		AVA	140.6
116	TRAXEL, GENE	220.000-1-36	ROME	19.4
116	TRAXEL, GENE	220.000-1-36	ROME	103.3
117	TRAXEL, TIMOTHY	220.000~1~21.1	ROME	55.8
118	TUTHILL, SANFORD & GJ	187.000-3-50.1	LEE	48.2
118	TUTHILL, SANFORD & GJ	187.000-3-50.1	LEE	77.1
119	TUTHILL, VERNE & JUDITHA	170.000~2~54.1	LEE	86.5
119	TUTHILL, VERNE & JUDITHA	170.000-2-54.1	LEE	43.3
119	TUTHILL, VERNE & JUDITHA	170.000~2~54.1	LEE	5.4
119	TUTHILL, VERNE & JUDITHA	170.002-1-17.1	LEE	1.0
119	TUTHILL, VERNE & JUDITHA	170.002-1-17.1	LEE	9.1
119	TUTHILL, VERNE & JUDITHA	170.002-1-17.1	LEE	9.8
119	TUTHILL, VERNE & JUDITHA	170.002-1-17.1	LEE	10.9
119	TUTHILL, VERNE & JUDITHA		LEE	13.4
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	205.016-3-28.2	ROME	28.6
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	205.016~3~28.1	ROME	50.0
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	205.016-2-45	ROME	6.5
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	205.016-2-44	ROME	0.7
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	205.012-1-64	ROME	26.3
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	205.012-1-1	ROME	35.6
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	205.008-2-27	ROME	77.5
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	206.000-1-18.1	ROME	1.7
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	206.000-1-18.2	ROME	22.2
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	206.000-1-18.2	ROME	3.5
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	189.000-2-17	ROME	84.1
120	TYLER, EDWARD G.	189.000-2-6.2	ROME	9.3
121	ULRICH, DUANE	97.000~1~7	AVA	7.9
121	ULRICH, DUANE	98.000-1-3	AVA	133.8
121	ULRICH, DUANE	97.000-1-4	AVA	242.3
121	ULRICH, DUANE	79.000~1~24	AVA	31.9
121	ULRICH, DUANE	135.000-1-4	WESTERN	43.5
121	ULRICH, DUANE	115.000-1-2	WESTERN	18.3
121	ULRICH, DUANE	97.000-3-2	WESTERN	105.9
121	ULRICH, DUANE	98.000-2-1.2	WESTERN	86.6
121	ULRICH, DUANE	98.000-2-1.4	WESTERN	27.0
122	URTZ, DEBORAH J.	206.000-2-67.1	<del></del>	38.0
122	URTZ, DEBORAH J.	206.000-2-48.2		9.5
122	URTZ, DEBORAH J.	206.000-2-48.1		26.2
122	URTZ, DEBORAH J.	206.000-2-47.1	ROME	39.5
123	URTZ, THOMAS L.	137.000-2-5.3	WESTERN	22.1
124	VAN LIESHOUT, JOHN & MARY	169.000-2-51	LEE	33.9
124	VAN LIESHOUT, JOHN & MARY	169.000-2-49.1	LEE	27.3
124	VAN LIESHOUT, JOHN & MARY	169.000~1~42.2		36.8
124	VAN LIESHOUT, JOHN & MARY	170.000-2-4.1	LEE	50.9
124	VAN LIESHOUT, JOHN & MARY	170.000-2-4.1	LEE	35.0
124	VAN LIESHOUT, JOHN & MARY	170.000-2-4.1	LEE	12.8
124	VAN LIESHOUT, JOHN & MARY	169.000-1-27	LEE	45.7
124	VAN LIESHOUT, JOHN & MARY	170.000-2-4.4	LEE	17.4
124	VAN LIESHOUT, JOHN & MARY	169.000-1-26	LEE	51.1
125	VON MATT TRUST, WALTER	187.000-2-1	LEE	22.4
125	VON MATT TRUST, WALTER	188.001-4-1	LEE	53.9

MAP ID#	OWNER	PIN	MUNICIPALITY	ACRES
125	VON MATT TRUST, WALTER	170.000-2-12.1	LEE	157.3
125	VON MATT TRUST, WALTER	170.000-2-12.1	LEE	17.3
125	VON MATT TRUST, WALTER	188.000-1-30.1	ROME	102.0
125	VON MATT TRUST, WALTER	188.000-1-6.1	ROME	5.4
126	VON MATT, THEODORE & DIANA	187.000-3-51.1	LEE	137.5
126	VON MATT, THEODORE & DIANA	187.000-3-51.1	LEE	22.0
127	WALSTON, JONATHON E.	170.000-1-3	LEE	60.3
128	WARCUP, ROBERT T.	172.000-1-4	WESTERN	2.2
128	WARCUP, ROBERT T.	172.000-1-4	WESTERN	6.6
128	WARCUP, ROBERT T.	172.000-1-4	WESTERN	98.9
128	WARCUP, ROBERT T.	172.000-1-8	WESTERN	103.1
129	WEIBEL, EUGENE & RITA	151.000-1-19	LEE	145.1
129	WEIBEL, EUGENE & RITA	151.000-1-34	LEE	50.8
130	WHITMAN, BRIAN L.	206.000-2-70	ROME	8.6
130	WHITMAN, BRIAN L.	206.000-2-4	ROME	8.2
130	WHITMAN, BRIAN L.	206.000-2-3	ROME	2.9
130	WHITMAN, BRIAN L.	206.000-2-5	ROME	84.3
131	WHITNEY, ARTHUR C. III	206.000-1-5.2	ROME	5.1
132	WILLIAMS, ALBERT	258.001-2-8	ROME	5.5
132	WILLIAMS, ALBERT	258.001-2-37	ROME	34.1
132	WILLIAMS, ALBERT	258.001-2-12	ROME	3.3
132	WILLIAMS, ALBERT	258.001-2-38	ROME	19.0
133	WILLIAMS, ELAINE Z.	206.000-2-71.1	ROME	10.7
133	WILLIAMS, ELAINE Z.	206.000-1-3	ROME	37.4
134	WILSON, JOHN	206.017-1-9	ROME	0.3
134	WILSON, JOHN	206.017~1~20	ROME	31.6
135	WILSON, JOSEPH	154.000~1~8.1	WESTERN	70.6
135	WILSON, JOSEPH	154.000-1-8.1	WESTERN	184.6
135	WILSON, JOSEPH	154.000-1-8.1	WESTERN	91.4
136	WILSON, MURRAY	205.020-1-16.3	ROME	56.1
136	WILSON, MURRAY	205.020~1~16.1	ROME	89.9
137	WILSON, RODNEY	169.000-1-31	LEE	19.5
137	WILSON, RODNEY	169.000-1-32.1	LEE	42.4
137	WILSON, RODNEY	170.000-2-9	LEE	0.5
137	WILSON, RODNEY	170.000-2-11.1		73.0
138	DAWES, ALLAN & MARCIA			1.8
138	DAWES, ALLAN & MARCIA	170.001-2-52.1	LEE	19.3
139	DUST, ALBERT	116.000-1-4.1	WESTERN	197.1
140	FILEY, ALLAN & BETH	239.000-1-2	ROME	92.2
141	MACIEWICZ, DAVID & DEBORAH	117.000-1-22	WESTERN	127.0
142	MERRILL, EDWARD & SHARON	169.000-1-32.2		27.3
143	ORAM, MICHAEL & MOLLIE	135.000-1-27	WESTERN	19.9
144	HILL, JOHN	258.001-2-21	ROME	8.8
	,		TOTAL ACRES	20352.8

#### ONEIDA COUNTY FARMLAND PROTECTION BOARD REPORT ONEIDA COUNTY AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT NO. 2 AVA-LEE-ROME-WESTERN MAY 2010

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Oneida County Agricultural District No. 2 (OC-2) includes agricultural lands in the towns of Ava, Lee, Western, and the City of Rome. OC-2 was combined with portions of several existing districts (OC-4, OC-5, OC-13, OC-15, OC-16, OC-20, OC-23, OC-26 and OC-32) as part of the county's consolidation of agricultural districts. The anniversary of district #23 was chosen as the renewal date for the new district #2. OC-23 was created on March 29, 1978, and was renewed in 1986, 1994, and 2002. As part of the county's consolidation process Agricultural District #23 is renamed to Agricultural District #2.

# II. FACTORS TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE REVIEW OF AN AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT

The procedure, set forth in Section 303-a of New York State Agricultural Markets Law, requires that reports regarding specific factors be made to the County legislative body by the Farmland Protection Board as part of an agricultural district review and renewal. Those factors, and the findings made, are set forth in the following sections.

#### III. DISCUSSION

A total of 144 landowners, owning 20,352.8 acres of farmland, have expressed a desire to be in the new consolidated district #2. These landowners, together with the specific parcels and acreages to be enrolled in the modified district, are shown on the attached list.

When originally formed, OC-23 included 26 farms and 6, 589 acres. The number of farms increased to 30 but the number of acres slightly decreased to 6, 587 when the district was renewed in 1986. In 1994, the number of farms increased to 34 while the acreage decreased to 6, 297. In 2002 the district was renewed to include portions of other districts partially within the Towns of Ava, Lee, and Western and the City of Rome's Outer District as part of the county's plan for the consolidation of agricultural districts. As a result of the consolidation, the number of landowners included in the district in 2002 grew to 109 and the number of acres in the district increased to 18, 651 acres.

# IV. FINDINGS MADE BY THE ONEIDA COUNTY AGRICULTURAL AND FARMLAND PROTECTION BOARD

(1) The nature of farming and farm resources within such district;

District #2 has a variety of agricultural operations with dairy and field crops comprising the majority of agricultural uses. There are also a few beef cattle

operations and horse farms. In addition, there are many woodlots included on farms enrolled in the district, which some farmers use for selling firewood and lumber.

(2) The overall status of farming, the farm economy, and farm investment;

Farming is a significant land use in the Towns of Ava, Lee, and Western and to a lesser extent the Outside District of the City of Rome. The low price of milk and other agricultural products has resulted in financial difficulties for many farmers, and has limited the amount of money farmers can invest in new equipment and other improvements. A few farms in the district have made substantial investment in dairy expansions.

(3) The extent to which the number of farms and farm acres in such district furthers the purposes for which such district was originally created;

OC-2 was created to encourage continuation of farming in the Towns of Ava, Lee, Western and the City of Rome's Outside District. The number of acres to be included in the district has increased due to the consolidation of agricultural districts within Oneida County. The new district includes portions of districts OC-4, OC-5, OC-13, OC-15, OC-16, OC-20, OC-23, OC-26 and OC-32.

(4) The extent to which the district has achieved its original objectives;

Farming continues to be one of the predominant uses of land in Ava, Lee, Western, and the City of Rome's Outside District. By and large, the district has served to retain farmland in agricultural production over time. Threats to continued agricultural production include an increase in residential development along rural roads and low prices for milk and other agricultural products, which makes it difficult for farmers to remain in business or to sell land for continued agricultural use.

(5) Any county agricultural and farmland protection planning or implementation efforts pursuant to article 25AAA of this chapter; and

The county developed a Farmland Protection Plan. The following three main objectives were outlined the plan: 1) Agricultural Economic Development- foster an economic climate that supports and promotes the retention and expansion of agricultural businesses within the county; 2) Ag Awareness/Ag Promotion- educate consumers as to the importance of agriculture in today's society, encourage agricultural producers to explore more direct marketing methods and alternative enterprises; and 3) Farmland Protection- to make government, primarily at the town and county level, more sensitive to the needs of agriculture.

In related efforts, the County adopted a right-to-farm law in January 1998. The County has also hired an agricultural economic development specialist whose efforts

are expected to help existing farmers stay in business and enable retiring farmers to sell their land to buyers who will continue to farm the land.

#### V. RECOMMENDATION

The Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board recommend that Agricultural District No. 2 be modified to include the 144 landowners and 20,352.8 acres of farmland shown on the attached list. It is further recommended that the Oneida County Board of Legislators renew the district, as modified, for an additional eight-year period, and forward the modified district to the NYS Commissioner of Agriculture and Markets for approval and recertification.

#### ONEIDA COUNTY AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT NO. 2 AVA-LEE-ROME-WESTERN GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF DISTRICT MAY 2010

The western boundary of OC-2 is formed by the Annsville, Verona, Vienna, and Annsville Town Lines. The Westmoreland and Whitestown Town Lines form the southern boundary. The eastern boundaries are the Boonville, Floyd and Steuben Town Lines. The northern boundary is the Lewis County Line.

AGDISTRICTOC2REPORT 5/10

14	- 16 - 4(287) - Text 12
Б	POJECT LO NUMBER

PROJECT I.D. NUMBER OC-2

#### 617.21 Appendix C State Environmental Quality Review

#### SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only

PART I – PROJECT INFORMATION (To be completed by Ap	oplicant or Project sponsor)
1. APPLICANT/SPONSOR	2. PROJECT NAME
Oneida County Board of Legislators	Agricultural District 2 (OC-2)
3. PROJECT LOCATION:	
Municipality: Towns of Ava, Lee, and Western, & the City of Rome	County: Oneida
4. PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road intersections, prominent la	andmarks, etc., or provide map)
OC-2's northern boundary is the Lewis County Line. The southern boundary	is formed by the Westmoreland and Whitestown Town Lines. The
Annsville, Vienna, and Verona Town Lines form the western boundary. The B	Boonville, Floyd, and Steuben Town Lines form the eastern boundary.
5. IS PROPOSED ACTION:	
New Expansion Modificati	ion
6. DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY:	ng vi
The eight year review of Oneida County Agricultural District 2 (OC-2) [form	mer District OC-23] and its extension for eight years with modifications.
7. AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED:	
Initially: 18,651 acres Ultimately: 20,352.8 acres (+ 1701	.8 acres)
8. WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING ZONING OR OTH	
Yes No If No, describe briefly	
9. WHAT IS PRESENT LAND USE IN VICINITY OF PROJECT?	
Residential Industrial Commercial Agricu	ulture Park/Forest/Open space Other
Describe:	
10. DOES ACTION INVOLVE A PERMIT APPROVAL, OR FUNDING, NOW	OR ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY
(FEDERAL STATE OR LOCAL)?	
Yes No If yes, list agency name and permit/approv	ral: New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets.
·	
11. DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURRENTLY VALID P	ERMIT OR APPROVAL?
Yes No If yes, list agency name and permit/approv	val: Agricultural District OC-2 has been in existence for the past 32 years.
The proposed action, if approved, will modify the existing district to reflect the	e changes over the past eight years, or if disapproved, will continue the
existing district unchanged for and an additional eight-year term.	
SAISTING GLOUIS	
12. AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTING PERMIT/APP	PROVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION?
☐ Yes ☐ No	
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED A	BOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE
	D 1 - 5107140
Application/sponsor Name: <u>John R. Kent, Jr., Commissioner, Oneida Cou</u>	unty Department of Planning Date: <u>5/27/10</u>
Signature: John R Kant Ja	
Signature.	

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment

PART II - ENVIRONIMENTAL ASSESSIMENT (To be completed by Age	
A. DOES ACTION EXCEED ANY TYPE I THRESHOLD IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.12? If	yes, coordinate the review process and use the FULL EAF.
B. WILL ACTION RECEIVE COORDINATED REVIEW AS PROVIDED FOR UNLISTED AC may be superseded by another involved agency.  Yes No	CTION IN 6NYCRR, PART 617.6? If No, a negative declaration
C. COULD ACTION RESULT IN ANY ADVERSE EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE FO C1. Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing t erosion, drainage or flooding problems? Explain briefly: Yes. Potential effect to air qual farming practices, and erosion if livestock permitted direct access to stream banks. (See	traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal, potential for lity caused by odor, effects to surface or groundwater caused by
C2. Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or cultural resources; No. Proposed action may result in beneficial effects. (See attached Determination of Sig	
C3. Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species, significant habitats, or threaten No. Proposed action may result in beneficial effects. (See attached Determination of Significant habitats) or threaten No. Proposed action may result in beneficial effects.	
C4. A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or into No. Proposed action may result in beneficial effects. (See attached Determination of Signature 1)	
C5. Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the pro No. (See attached Determination of Significance)	pposed action? Explain briefly:
C6. Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-5? Explain bri No.	iefly:
C7. Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantify or type of energy)? Explai No.	in briefly:
D. WILL THE PROJECT HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERIST Yes 🛛 No	TICS THAT CAUSED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CEA?
E. IS THERE, OR IS THERE LIKELY TO BE, CONTROVERSY RELATED TO POTENTIAL $\square$ Yes $\bowtie$ No	ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?
PART III – DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To be completed by Agency) INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified above, determine whether significant. Each effect should be assessed in connection with its (a) setting duration; (d) irreversibility; (e) geographic scope; and (f) magnitude. If neces that explanations contain sufficient detail to show that all relevant adverse im	(i.e. urban or rural); (b) probably of occurring; (c) sary, add attachments or reference materials. Ensure spacts have been identified and adequately addressed.
Check this box if you have identified one or more potentially occur. Then proceed directly to the FULL EAF and/or prepared in the control of	are a positive declaration. nformation and analysis above and any supporting esult in any significant adverse environmental impac
Oneida County Board o Name of Lead Ago	
Carald I Fiorini	Chairman
Gerald J. Fiorini Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Chairman Title of Responsible Officer
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Prepared (If different from responsible officer)
5/27/10 Date	Signature of Frepared (if different from responsible officer)

#### 617.21 Appendix C State Environmental Quality Review

#### SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

Part III - Determination of Significance

Re: Oneida County Agricultural District 2 (OC – 2)

The following paragraphs include responses to questions C1 through C5 of Part II of the Environmental Assessment Form.

- While the attached Environmental Assessment Part II, C1 notes a potential adverse effect caused by the agricultural activity with regard to odor, surface and groundwater quality, and erosion, the effect is not considered substantial, large or otherwise significant. The area is predominantly agricultural with scattered rural residences and woodland. Additionally, the duration and reversibility of any such potential impact is highly controllable through the implementation of Agricultural Best Management Practices, which will also further reduce the likelihood of the adverse effects occurring.
- C2) With regard to aesthetic, agricultural resources and community character discussed in Part II, C2, the proposed agricultural use will have no adverse effects and may, in fact, have beneficial effects by encouraging the continuation of existing land uses as agriculture and open space. (See the discussion of C4 and C5 below)
- C3) With regard to vegetation and wildlife habitat discussed in Part II, C3, the agricultural uses of lands may have a beneficial effect in creating wildlife habitat and, in some cases of crop production, providing a source of food supply for wildlife.
- C4) Regarding potential impacts to a community's existing plans or land use goals discussed in Part II, C4, the modification of Agricultural District 2 (OC -2) will not be in conflict with existing plans or land use goals within the Towns of Ava, Lee, and Western or the City of Rome.
- C5) Regarding potential adverse impacts associated with topics discussed within Part II, C5, including: growth, subsequent development, or related activities induced by the proposed action, the continuation of land as agriculture and open space, in combination with the intent of the NYS Agricultural and Markets Law, will further control growth and development in all municipalities within OC-2.

24.

# 617.12 Appendix F State Environmental; Quality Review NEGATIVE DECLARATION Notice of Determination of Non-Significance

Project Number OC-2 Date 5/27/10
This notice is issued pursuant to Part 617 of the implementing regulations pertaining to Article 8 (State Environmental Quality Review Act) of the Environmental Conservation Law.
The Oneida County Board of Legislators, as lead agency, has determined that the proposed action described below will not have significant effect on the environment and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared.
Name of Action: Oneida County Agricultural District 2 (OC-2)
SEQR status: Type I Unlisted I
Conditional Negative Declaration: Yes No
<b>Description of Action:</b> The eight-year review of existing Agricultural District OC-2 and its extension with modifications for eight additional years.
<b>Location:</b> (Include street address and the name of the municipality/county. A location map of appropriated scale is also recommended.) The district extends from the Annsville Town Line east to the Boonville, Floyd, and Steuben Town Lines, and from the Lewis County Line south to the Westmoreland and Whitestown Town Lines.

SEQR Negative Declaration	Page 2
Reasons Supporting This Determination: (See 617.6(g) for requirements of this determination: see 617.6(h) for Condition	nal Negative Declaration)
If Conditional Negative Declaration, Provide on attachment the specific mitig	gation measures imposed.
For Further Information:	
Contact Person:	
Address:	
Telephone Number:	
For Type I Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a Copy of this	s Notice Sent to:
Commissioner, Department of Environmental Conservation, 50 Wolf Road, Alb	
Appropriate Regional Office of the Department of Environmental Conservation Office of the Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action	
Applicant (if any)	12 [
Other involved agencies (if any)	
	glo.



#### ONEIDA COUNTY FARMLAND PROTECTION BOARD

#### Brymer Humphreys, Chair



Paul Kirk • Thomas Cassidy • George Gafner • Michael J. Cosgrove • Andy Gale Patrick H. Brennan • Marty Broccoli • John R. Kent, Jr. • Kathy Pilbeam Clifford Kitchen

#### **May 20, 2010 Minutes**

Present: Brymer Humphreys, Guy Sassaman, Tom Cassidy, Mike Cosgrove, Paul Kirk, George Gafner, Kathy Pilbeam, Marty Broccoli & Remi Link

Meeting was called to order at 7:10PM.

Open Enrollment:

Guy reported that he presented the information to the Agriculture & Rural Development Committee last month. The board of Legislators approved it last Wednesday and it has been forwarded to Ag. & Markets for final approval.

- Ag District #23 (#2) Ava, Lee, Western & Rome:

  There were two new applications received on the night of the public hearing in the Town of Western. These applications were presented to the board.

  Motion by Gafner to approve both applications

Second by Kirk

Motion carried

A total of 20,352.8 acres that includes an increase of 1,700 acres will be submitted for Ag District #2. The information for Ag District #2 will be submitted to the Agriculture & Rural Development Committee for approval.

**Information Session:** This was the second agriculture information meeting that the Farmland Protection Board hosted. All board members were in agreement of its success and plan on continuing this program annually for the future. It was suggested that the Public Hearing be held before the information session.

Notice of Intent from DOT: Brymer updated the board. There was no new news. The field has been planted but there are no signs of construction at this time.

<u>Town Of Annsville:</u> Remi reported that the Town of Annsville has not gone further with their permit requirements for agricultural buildings. No action needs to be taken at this time.

Town of Westmoreland: Ag & Markets are continuing to make recommendations to the town. The town has not changed their position in regards to their zoning. The board discussed the Fedor Farm situation and their intent to continue farm practices.

New Business:

Marty discussed a new venture looking to raise and slaughter chickens in the area.

Motion to adjourn by Sassaman at 8:15PM Second by Gafner Motion carried

**NEXT MEETING:** September night meeting TBA



## ONEIDA COUNTY FARMLAND PROTECTION BOARD

Brymer Humphreys, Chair



Paul Kirk • Thomas Cassidy • George Gafner • Michael J. Cosgrove • Andy Gale Patrick H. Brennan • Marty Broccoli • John R. Kent, Jr. • Kathy Pilbeam Clifford Kitchen

#### April 13, 2010

Present: Brymer, Humphreys, Chairman, Oneida County Farmland Protection Board; Kathy Pilbeam, Oneida County Department of Finance & Oneida County Farmland Protection Board; Pat Brennan, Oneida County Legislator and Oneida County Farmland Protection Board; Guy Sassaman, Oneida County Department of Planning & Oneida County Farmland Protection Board; George Gafner, Oneida County Farmland Protection Board; Paul Kirk, Oneida County Farmland Protection Board; Caroline Williams, Oneida County Cooperative Extension Rural Development; Remi Link, secretary, and 23 area residents.

The public hearing for Agriculture District #2 in Oneida County was called to order at 7:45 p.m.

Chairman Humphreys read the announcement for the public hearing and made introductions. He asked if anyone had comments or questions regarding the process, which no one did.

Guy Sassaman presented the map for review with the list of the properties

Motion to close the public hearing at 7:55 p.m.

#### **NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

#### CONSOLIDATED AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT NO. 2

#### AVA, LEE, WESTERN, ROME

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that a public hearing on the Consolidation of Agricultural District #2 Towns of Ava, Lee, Western and Rome shall be held by the Oneida County Board of Legislators at 7:30 PM on Tuesday, April 13, 2010 at the Western Town Hall, 9129 Main Street, Westernville, NY 13486, to acknowledge consolidation changes.

Said public hearing is being held to consider the recommendations of the Oneida County Planning Board and the Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board for proposed modifications of said District.

A description of maps of the District, proposed modifications and recommendations may be examined in the Office of the Clerk of the Oneida County Board of Legislators, 800 Park Avenue, Utica, NY.

All parties of interest and citizens will be heard by the Oneida County Board of Legislators at the public hearing.

ONEIDA COUNTY BOARD OF LEGISLATORS

<u>Mikale Billard, Clerk</u> MIKALE, BILLARD, CLERK

DATED: February 25, 2010

# 1798

#### ONEIDA COUNTY BOARD OF LEGISLATORS

ONEIDA COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 800 PARK AVENUE • UTICA, N.Y. 13501-2977

Gerald J. Fiorini Chairman (315) 798-5900

Mikale Billard Clerk (315) 798-5901

David J. Wood Majority Leader

Patricia A. Hudak Minority Leader

February 5, 2010

Mikale Billard, Clerk Oneida County Board of Legislators 800 Park Avenue Utica, NY 13501

RE: Consolidated Agricultural District No. 2 Towns of Ava, Lee, Western and Rome

Dear Mr. Billard:

The Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board has met on the above referenced district and made its recommendations.

At the request of the Farmland Protection Board, please prepare a docket scheduling a public hearing for 7:30 PM on Tuesday, April 13th, 2010 at the Western Town Hall, 9129 Main St, Westernville, NY 13486.

In order to allow ample time to notify the landowners, I would ask that the Ways & Means Committee and Board of Legislators vote upon the docket at the meeting of March 10, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

GERALD J. FIORINÍ

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

ЬЬ

cc: All FPB Members

SUITER TO THE TOTAL TO

State of New York County of Or LEGAL

LEGAL NOTICE
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE
that the New York State Urban
Development Corporation,
doing business as the Empire
State Development Corporation
("ESD" or the "Corporation"),
pursuant to Section 16(2) of
the New York State Urban
Development Corporation Act
(Chapter 174 Section I, Laws of
1968, as amended) (the "Act"),
has adopted a General Project
Plan (the "Plan") with respect
to the Rome RESTORE
III -BOA Implementation
Project (the "Project"), at the
Directors' meeting on June
24, 2010. Copies of the Plan
are available without charge
to any person who shall make
a request for the same at the
office of the Corporation. The
Corporation will file such Plan
in the office of the City Clerk,
City of Rome, City Hall, 198
N. Washington Street, Rome,
New York 13440 and with the
Oneida County Office Building 800
Park Avenue, Utica, New York
13501. A copy of the Plan is
also on file at the principal
office of the Corporation, 633
Third Avenue, New York, New
York 10017, and is available
for inspection by the general
public Monday through Friday,
between the hours of 9:00 a.m.
and 5:30 p.m.

The Project includes exterior historic preservation, interior rehabilitation, demolition and reconstruction of three properties in Rome's downtown core located at 207 North James Street, 293-295 East Dominick Street and 1333 East Dominick Street. Total Project costs are expected to be approximately \$2.13 million. ESD proposes to make a \$1,690,000 grant to city of Rome to assist in the

financing of the Project.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER
NOTICE, that, in accordance
with Section 16(2) of the Act,
a public hearing, open to all
persons, will be held by the
Corporation at the Common
Council Chambers 198 N.
Washington Street, Rome, New
York 13440 on Wednesday,
August 4, 2010 from 10:00 a m
to 11:00 a.m., to consider the
Plan.

DATED: Saturday July 24, 2010
New York, New York
New York State Urban
Development Corporation
By: Eileen McEvoy,
Corporate Secretary

Keria Joseph

being sworn, says he is, and during the time hereinafter mentioned, was Advertising Director of the DAILY SENTINEL, a newspaper printed and published in the County of Oneida, aforesaid; and that the annexed printed Notice was inserted and published in said Newspaper once/ commencing

on the	July 24,	day of_	July	24,	, 20	10
to wit:		J	uly 24,			<u>.</u>
		July 24,			, 20	10
<u> </u>		<u>h</u>	oria) Doscph	<b>J</b>		- 
Sworn to b	pefore me this _	24th	day of	July	, 20	10
	Lā Vai	u a.	Rivers	) 	otary Pı	ıblic

La'Vaun A. Rivers Notary Public State of New York County of Oneida Reg. #01R16062227 My Commission Expires 07-30-2013

#### NOTICE OF 30-DAY PERIOD FOR PUBLIC REVIEW

#### OF AN AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT

#### PURSUANT TO THE EIGHT YEAR REVIEW

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE,** that Agricultural District #2 was established pursuant to Article 25-AA of the Agriculture and Markets Law,

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that after consolidation, Agricultural District #2 shall consist of the Towns of Ava, Lee, Western and the City of Rome,

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE**, that under Section 303 (7) (A) of the Agriculture and Markets Law, the County is required to review a District eight years after its creation and every eight years thereafter.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that during this 30-day period, any municipality whose territory encompasses the above Agricultural District, and State Agency or landowner within or adjacent to the District, may process a modification of the district.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that any proposed modification must be filed with the Clerk of the Oneida County Board of Legislators within the thirty days specified.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that at the termination of the thirty day period, the District and proposed modification will be submitted to the Oneida County Planning Board, the Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board, and that thereafter a public hearing will be held on the District proposed modifications and recommendation of said Board.

ONEIDA COUNTY BOARD OF LEGISLATORS

# Griffiss International Airport

Oneida County Department of Aviation 592 Hangar Road, Suite 200 Rome, NY 13441 Telephone: 315-736-4171 / Fax: 315-736-0568



ANTHONY J. PICENTE, JR. County Executive

W. VERNON GRAY, III

To: Dennis S. Davis, Secretary, Board of Acquisition and Contract

Fr: W. Vernon Gray, Commissioner of Aviation

Date: July 30, 2010

FN 20 10 - 317

Re: NYSERDA RFP 10 – ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION BLOCK GRANT (EECBG) AGREEMENT. H-408 – Building 100 Improvements & MEA

In 2009, the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA) received \$29 million from the U.S. Department of Energy to administer a competitive solicitation for small municipalities across New York State. On December 23, 2009, NYSERDA announced RFP 10: Implementation Funding for Small Municipalities, the competitive solicitation administering the EECBG funds. The Request for Proposals (RFP) provided statewide funding for the implementation of energy conservation measures including: energy efficiency, renewable energy, efficient transportation systems, material conservation, and energy conservation personnel.

In February, 2010, the Airport responded to the RFP with a proposal for the installation of solar walls on the East Bay and West Bay hangars of Building 100 in order to reduce and/or eliminate the use of costly steam heat in these hangars. On March 24, the Airport was notified that its proposal had been selected for the funding of a 50/50 Grant in the maximum amount of \$544,344 with the State and County each funding \$272,172. The County's funding is to be provided from **Capital Account H-408 – Building 100 Improvements**.

It is requested that the EECBG Agreement be accepted by the Board of Acquisition and Contract and upon approval submit to the Board of Legislators for its execution by the County executive. There upon the Agreement will be returned to NYSERDA for final execution.

WVG/dmn

Cc: William F Applebee

Reviewed and Approved for submittal to the

Oneida Conty Board of

ty Executive

Date 1/25 1/4

2010 AUG 25 PM II: 34

Oneida County Department:	Aviation

Competing Proposal	
Only Respondent	
Sole Source RFP	

## **Oneida County Board of Legislators Contract Summary**

Name of Proposing Organization:

NYSERDA RFP 10 Energy Efficiency & Conservation Block Grant

(EECBG) – Building 100 Solar wall.

Title of Activity or Service:

**Grant Agreement** 

Client Population/Number to be Served:

N/A

**Summary Statements:** 

1) Narrative Description of Proposed Services:

Grant agreement 50/50 with State and County for energy efficiency & renewal.

2)Program/Service Objectives and Outcomes:

Energy efficiency, renewable energy, efficient transportation systems, material conservation.

3) Program Design and Staffing Level:

N/A

Total Funding Requested: 544,344

Oneida County Department Funding Recommendation: N/A

Account # H-408

Proposed Funding Source:

Federal N/A

State \$272,172

County \$272,172

Cost Per Client Served:

Past Performance Data:

N/A

Oneida County Department Staff Comments: approved by Board of Acquisition & Contract 8/11/10.